

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

We use our wireless phones primarily for safety and security. My wife travels 60 miles over rural roads to work early and leaves late so the phone we bought is primarily for security and safety. I recently bought my phone partially as a back up to my VHF radio in local waters. We could afford these phones because of the current rate structure. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee. I thought the Boston Tea Party addressed the issue well- TAXATION BASED ON PROPORTIONAL REPRESENTATION/USE!!

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Daniel Yorksie
1 Camden lane
Hilton Head Island, South Carolina 29926-2219